arXiv:math/0512427v1 [math.PR] 18 Dec 2005

Generalized probabilities taking values in non-Archimedean fields and topological groups

Andrei Khrennikov
School of Mathematics and Systems Engineering
University of Växjö, S-35195, Sweden

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We develop an analogue of probability theory for probabilities taking values in topological groups. We generalize Kolmogorov's method of axiomatization of probability theory: main distinguishing features of frequency probabilities are taken as axioms in the measure-theoretic approach. We also present a review of non-Kolmogorovian probabilistic models including models with negative, complex, and p-adic valued probabilities. The latter model is discussed in details. The introduction of p-adic (as well as more general non-Archimedean) probabilities is one of the main motivations for consideration of generalized probabilities taking values in topological groups which are distinct from the field of real numbers. We discuss applications of non-Kolmogorovian models in physics and cognitive sciences. An important part of this paper is devoted to statistical interpretation of probabilities taking values in topological groups (and in particular in non-Archimedean fields).

1 Introduction

Since the creation of the modern probabilistic axiomatics by A. N. Kolmogorov [1] in 1933, probability theory was reduced to the theory of normalized σ-additive measures taking values in the segment [0,1] of the field of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$. In particular, the main competitor of

Kolmogorov's measure-theoretic approach, von Mises' frequency approach to probability [2], [3], practically totally disappeared from the probabilistic arena. On one hand, this was a consequence of difficulties with von Mises' definition of randomness (via place selections), see e.g., [4]-[10][1]. On the other hand, von Mises' approach (as many others) could not compete with precisely and simply formulated Kolmogorov's theory.

We mentioned von Mises' approach not only, because its attraction for applications, but also because von Mises' model with frequency probabilities played the important role in the process of formulation of the conventional axiomatics of probability theory. If one opens Kolmogorov's book [1], he will see numerous remarks about von Mises' theory. Andrei Nikolaevich Kolmogorov used properties of the frequency probability to justify his choice of the axioms for probability. In particular, Kolmogorov's probability belongs to the segment [0,1] of the real line $\mathbf{R}$, because the same takes place for von Mises' frequency probability (frequencies $\nu_N = n/N$ as well as their limits always belong to the segment [0,1] of the real line $\mathbf{R}$). In the same way Kolmogorov's probability is additive, because the frequency probability is additive: the limit of the sum of two frequencies equals to the sum of limits. And so on... Thus by using *THEOREMS* of von Mises' frequency theory Kolmogorov justified the AXIOMATIZATION of probability as a normalized finite-additive measure taking values in [0,1]. Finally, he added the condition of σ-additivity. However, the latter condition is a purely mathematical technical condition that provides the fruitful theory of integration (to define mean values of random variables), see Kolmogorov remark on this condition [1]. The main lesson of Kolmogorov's axiomatization of modern probability theory is that *THEOREMS* of the frequency probability theory were transformed into *AXIOMS* in the measure-theoretic probability theory. The Kolmogorov's axiomatics is based on:

(a) the measure-theoretic formalization of properties of relative frequencies;

(b) Lebesque integration theory.

Regarding to (a), we would like to mention that Kolmogorov's (as well as von Mises') assumptions were also based on a fundamental, but hidden, assumption:

---

[1] However, see also [11]-[13], where von Mises' approach was simplified, generalized, and then fruitfully applied to theoretical physics.

Limiting behaviour of relative frequencies is considered with respect to one fixed topology on the field of rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$*, namely the real topology.*

In particular, the consideration of this asymptotic behaviour implies that probabilities belong to the field real numbers $\mathbf{R}$. In fact, additivity of the probability is a consequence of the fact that $\mathbf{R}$ is an *additive topological group.* We also remark that Bayes' formula

$$\mathbf{P}(B|A) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbf{P}(A)}, \mathbf{P}(A) \neq 0.$$

is also a theorem in von Mises' theory, see, e.g., [11]. It is derived as a consequence of the fact that $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is a *multiplicative topological group.* We can ask ourself: Are we satisfied by Kolmogorov's theory? As pure mathematician, I would definitely answer: "yes!". However, as physicist, I would not be so optimistic. It seems that Kolmogorov's model, despite its generality, does not provide a reasonable mathematical description of all probabilistic structures that appear in physics (as well as other natural and social sciences). In particular, we can recall the old problem of *negative probabilities.* There are many objects that by their physical origin must be probabilities, but can take negative values (as well as values larger than 1), see e.g., Dirac [14] (quantization of electromagnetic field), Wigner [15] (phase space distribution), Feynman [16] (computer simulation of quantum reality), see also [17], [11], [18].

As mathematicians, we deny these “negative probabilities” (because such objects do not belong to the domain of Kolmogorov's theory). As a consequence, physicists should work with such objects on teh physical level of rigorousness. But negative probabilities appear again and again in different domains of physics. The same situation takes place for *complex probabilities*, see e.g., Dirac [19] or Prugovesky [20].

We also pay attention to another probability-like structure that recently appeared in theoretical physics. This is so called *p-adic probability.*

We recall that the first p-adic physical model, namely p-adic string, was proposed by I. Volovich [21]. His paper induced the storm of publications on p-adic string theory, e.g., [22]-[24], general p-adic quantum physics, e.g., [24-27], applications of p-adic numbers to foundations of conventional quantum physics (Bell's inequality, Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox) [28], [29], dynamical systems [26], [30]-[32], biological and cognitive models [26], [33].

In fact, there are two types of p-adic physical models:

(A) variables are p-adic, but functions are $\mathbf{C}$-valued;

(B) both variables and functions take p-adic values.

The A-models of p-adic physics and their relation to conventional probability theory on locally compact groups (especially, totally disconnected) will be briefly discussed in appendix 1. The B-models are the most interesting for our present considerations.

Here we have quantities that have to be probabilities (by their physical origin), but belong to fields of p-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_p$. We again could not use Kolmogorov's axiomatics, see [25]. We also can mention *comparative probability* theory, see e.g., Fine [34], as an example of non-Kolmogorovian probabilistic model. Thus the present situation in probability theory has some similarities with the situation in geometry in the 19th century. We have to recognize that Kolmogorov's theory is just one of numerous probabilistic models. Besides Kolmogorov's model, there are numerous non-Kolmogorovian models that describe various probabilistic phenomena, see Accardi [35]. Such non-Kolmogorovian models can be developed in many ways.

We mention one slight (but very important in quantum physics) modification of Kolmogorov's theory. L. Accardi [35] proposed to exclude from the axiomatics of probability theory Bayes' formula, Kolmogorov's definition of conditional probability. Thus everything is as in Kolmogorov's model besides conditional probability. L. Accardi demonstrated that such a model could be used in the connection with Bell's inequality and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox.

We can construct another large class of non-Kolmogorovian models by considering "probabilistic measures" that are not defined on σ-fields see e.g., the theory of probabilistic manifolds of Gudder [36] that was successfully applied in quantum physics. In fact, A. Kolmogorov considered in his first variant of axiomatics of probability theory "probabilities" that are not defined on a σ-field (and even a field) [37]. In particular, so called density of natural numbers was considered as probability in [37].

In this paper we shall concentrate our study to probabilistic models that could be obtained through changing the range of values of probabilities. Thus our "generalized probabilities" do not more take values in the segment [0,1] of $\mathbf{R}$.

There are many ways to develop such probabilistic models. One class of such models is related to comparative probability theory, [34]. We choose another approach for modifying the Kolmogorov's axiomatics. We obtain natural generalizations of properties of probabilities which are induced by the transition from $\mathbf{R}$ to an arbitrary topological group. We consider $\mathbf{R}$ as a topological group (with respect to addition) and extract the main properties of Kolmogorov's measure-theoretic or von Mises' frequency probability corresponding to the group structure on $\mathbf{R}$. Then we use generalizations of such properties to define generalized probabilities taking values in an arbitrary topological group G.

On one hand, such an extension of probability can have a lot of applications (in particular, justification of negative or p-adic probabilities). On the other hand, it could revolutionize the classical theory of *Probability on Topological Structures* by generating a huge class of new purely mathematical problems.

Before developing the general axiomatics, we will present an extended review on the p-adic valued probabilities [25], [26], [38], [39]. In fact, p-adic probability theory was the first example of the mathematically rigorous formalism for probabilities taking values in a topological group G which is different from $\mathbf{R}$. Since in applications of probability (e.g., to physics) its interpretation plays the fundamental role, we pay the main attention to a statistical interpretation of such generalized probabilities, see section 6.

Finally, we pay attention that recently an extremely interesting analysis of foundations of probability theory was done by Viktor Pavlovich Maslov in the process of development of *ultra-second quantization* [39] as well as applications of classical and quantum probabilistic models and their generalizations in finances (private communication of V. P. Maslov).

2 p-adic lessons

2.1 p-adic numbers

The field of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$ is constructed as the completion of the field of rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to the metric $p(x,y) = |x-y|$, where $|\cdot|$ is the usual valuation given by the absolute value. The fields of p-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_p$ are constructed in a corresponding way, but by using other valuations. For a prime number p the p-adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$ is defined in the following way. First we define it for natural numbers. Every natural number n can be represented as the product of prime numbers, $n = 2^{r_2} 3^{r_3} \dots p^{r_p} \dots$, and we define $|n|_p = p^{-r_p}$, writing

$|0|_p = 0$ and $|-n|_p = |n|_p$. We then extend the definition of the p-adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$ to all rational numbers by setting $|n/m|_p = |n|_p/|m|_p$ for $m \neq 0$. The completion of $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to the metric $\rho_p(x, y) = |x - y|_p$ is the locally compact field of p-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

The number fields $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_p$ are unique in a sense, since by Ostrovsky's theorem, see e.g., [40], $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|_p$ are the only possible valuations on $\mathbf{Q}$, but have quite distinctive properties. The field of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$ with its usual valuation satisfies $|n| = n \to \infty$ for valuations of natural numbers n and is said to be *Archimedian.* By a well known theorem of number theory [40] the only complete Archimedian fields are those of the real and the complex numbers. In contrast, the fields of p-adic numbers, which satisfy $|n|_p \leq 1$ for all $n \in N$, are examples of *non-Archimedian* fields.

Unlike the absolute value distance $|\cdot|$, the p-adic valuation satisfies the strong tringle inequality:

$$|x + y|_p \leq \max[|x|_p, |y|_p], x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p.$$

Consequently the p-adic metric satisfies the strong triangle inequality $\rho_p(x, y) \leq \max[\rho_p(x, z), \rho_p(z, y)], x, y, z \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, which means that the metric ρ_p is an *ultrametric,* [40]. Write $U_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |x-a|_p \leq r\}$, where $r = p^n$ and $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ These are the "closed" balls in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ while the sets $\mathbf{S}_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |x - a|_p = r\}$ are the spheres in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of such radii r. These sets (balls and spheres) have a somewhat strange topological structure from the viewpoint of our usual Euclidian intuition: they are both open and closed at the same time, and as such are called *clopen* sets. Finally, any p-adic ball $U_r(0)$ is an additive subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, while the ball $U_1(0)$ is also a ring, which is called the *ring of p-adic integers* and is denoted by $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

The p-adic *exponential function* $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$. The series converges in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ if $|x|_p \leq r_p$, where $r_p = 1/p, p \neq 2$ and $r_2 = 1/4$. p-adic trigonometric functions $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ are defined by the standard power series. These series have the same radius of convergence r_p as the exponential series.

2.2 p-adic frequency model.

As in the ordinary probability theory [2], [3], the first p-adic probability model was the frequency one, [41]. This model was based on the simple remark that relative frequencies $\nu_N = \frac{n}{N}$ always belong to the field of rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$. And $\mathbf{Q}$ can be considered as a (dense) subfield of $\mathbf{R}$ as well as $\mathbf{Q}_p$ (for each prime number p). Therefore behaviour of sequences $\{\nu_N\}$ of (rational) relative frequencies can be studied not only with respect to the real topology on Q, but also with respect to any p-adic toplology on Q. Roughly speaking a p-adic probability (as real von Mises' probability) is defined as:

$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha) = \lim_N \nu_N(\alpha). \tag{1}$$

Here α is some label denoting a result of a statistical experiment. Denote the set of all such labels by the symbol Ω. In the simplest case $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$. Here $\nu_N(\alpha)$ is the relative frequency of realization of the label α in the first N trials. The $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ is the frequency probability of the label α.

The main p-adic lesson is that it is impossible to consider, as we did in the real case, limits of the relative frequencies ν_N when the $N \to \infty$. Here the point "∞" belongs, in fact, to the real compactification of the set of natural numbers. So $|N| \to \infty$, where $|\cdot|$ is the real absolute value. The set of natural numbers $\mathbf{N}$ is bounded in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and it is densely embedded into the ring of p-adic integers $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (the unit ball of $\mathbf{Q}_p$). Therefore sequences $\{N_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers can have various limits $m = \lim_{k\to\infty} N_k \in \mathbf{Z}_p$.

In the p-adic frequency probability theory we proceed in the following way to provide the rigorous mathematical meaning for the procedure (1), see [41], [42]. We fix a p-adic integer $m \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and consider the class, L_m, of sequences of natural numbers $s = \{N_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} N_k = m$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

Let us consider the fixed sequence of natural numbers $s \in L_m$. We define a p-adic s-probability as

$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu_{N_k}(\alpha), s = \{N_k\}.$$

This is the limit of relative frequencies with respect to the fixed sequence $s = \{N_k\}$ of natural numbers.

For any subset A of the set of labels Ω, we define its s-probability as

$$\mathbf{P}(A) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu_{N_k}(A), s = \{N_k\},$$

where $\nu_{N_k}(A)$ is the relative frequency of realization of labels α belonging to the set A in the first N trials.

As $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is an additive topological semigroup (as well as $\mathbf{R}$), we obtain that the p-adic probability is additive:

Theorem 2.1.

$$\mathbf{P}(A_1 \cup A_2) = \mathbf{P}(A_1) + \mathbf{P}(A_2), A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset. \tag{2}$$

As $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is even an additive topological group (as well as $\mathbf{R}$), we get that

Theorem 2.2.

$$\mathbf{P}(A_1 \setminus A_2) = \mathbf{P}(A_1) - \mathbf{P}(A_1 \cap A_2). \tag{3}$$

Trivially, for any sequence $s = \{N_k\}$, $\mathbf{P}(\Omega) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \nu_{N_k}(\Omega) = 1$, as $\nu_N(\Omega) = \frac{N}{N} = 1$ for any N.

As $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is a multiplicative topological group (as well as $\mathbf{R}$) , we obtain (see von Mises [2], [3] for the real case and [11] for the p-adic case) Bayes' formula for conditional probabilities:

Theorem 2.3.

$$\mathbf{P}(A|B) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\nu_{N_k}(A \cap B)}{\nu_{N_k}(A)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbf{P}(A)}, \mathbf{P}(A) \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

As we know, frequency probability played the crucial role in conventional probability theory for determination of the range of values (namely, the segment [0,1]) of a probabilistic measure, see remarks on von Mises' theory in Kolmogorov's book [1]. Frequencies always lie between zero and one. Thus their limit belongs to the same range.

In the p-adic case we can proceed in the same way. Let $r \equiv r_m = \frac{1}{|m|_p}$ (where $r = \infty$ for $m = 0$). We can easily obtain, see [42], that for the p-adic frequency s-probability, $s \in L_m$, the values of $\mathbf{P}$ always belong to the p-adic ball $U_r(0) = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq r\}$. In the p-adic probabilistic model such a ball $U_r(0)$ plays the role of the segment [0,1] in the real probabilistic model.

2.3 Measure-theoretic approach

As in the real case, the structure of an additive topological group of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ induces the main properties of probability that can be used for the axiomatization in the spirit of Kolmogorov, [1].

Let us fix $r = p^{\pm l}, l = 0, 1, \ldots,$ or $r = \infty$.

Axiomatics 1. *Let Ω be an arbirary set (a sample space) and let F be a field of subsets of Ω (events). Finally, let $\mathbf{P} : F \to U_r(0)$ be* *an additive function (measure) such that* $\mathbf{P}(\Omega) = 1$. *Then the triple* $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ *is said to be a* p*-adic* r*-probabilistic space and* $\mathbf{P}$ p*-adic* r*-probability* .

Following to Kolmogorov we should find some technical mathematical restriction on $\mathbf{P}$ that would induce fruitful integration theory and give the possibility to define averages. Kolmogorov (by following Borel, Lebesque, Lusin, and Egorov) proposed to consider the σ-additivity of measures and the σ-structure of the field of events. Unfortunately, in the p-adic case the situation is not so simple as in the real one. One could not just copy Kolmogorov's approach and consider the condition of σ-additivity. There is, in fact, a No-Go theorem, see, e.g., [43]:

Theorem 2.4. *All* σ*-additive* p*-adic valued measures defined on* σ*-fields are discrete.*

Here the difficulty is not induced by the σ-additivity, but by an attempt to extend a measure from the field F of its definition to a σ-field. Roughly speaking there exist σ-additive "continuous" $\mathbf{Q}_p$-valued measures, but they could not be extended from the field F to the σ-field generated by F. Therefore it is impossible to choose the σ-additivity as the basic integration condition in the p-adic probability theory.

The first important condition (that was already invented in the first theory of non-Archimedian integration of Monna and Springer [44]) is *boundedness:*

$$||A||_{\mathbf{P}} = \sup\{|\mathbf{P}(A)|_p : A \in F\} < \infty.$$

Of course, if $\mathbf{P}$ is a p-adic r-probability with $r < \infty$, then this condition is fulfilled automatically. It is nontrivial only if the range of values of a p-adic probability is unbounded in $\mathbf{Q}_p$.[2]. We pay attention to one important particular case in that the condition of boundedness alone provides the fruitful integration theory. Let Ω be a compact zero-dimensional topological space.[3] Then the integral

$$E\xi = \int_\Omega \xi(\omega)\mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

is well defined for any continuous $\xi : \Omega \to \mathbf{Q}_p$. This theory works well for the following choice: Ω is the ring of q-adic integers Z_q, and $\mathbf{P}$ is a bounded p-adic r-probability, $r < \infty$. The integral is defined as the limit of Riemannian sums [44].

---

[2] In the frequency formalism this corresponds to considering of p-adic (frequency) s-probabilities for $s \in L_0$; e.g., $s = \{N_k = p^k\}$.

[3] There exists a basis of neighborhoods that are open and closed at the same time.

But in general boundedness alone does not imply a fruitful integration theory. We should consider another condition, namely *continuity* of $\mathbf{P}$, see appendix 3. The most general continuity condition was proposed by A. van Rooij [43], see appendix 3.[4]

Definition 2.1. *A p-adic valued measure that is bounded, continuous, and normalized is called p-adic probability measure.*

Everywhere below we consider p-adic probability spaces endowed with p-adic probability measures.

Let $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ be a p-adic probabilistic space. *Random variables* $\xi : \Omega \to \mathbf{Q}_p$ are defined as $\mathbf{P}$-integrable functions, see appendix 3.

As the frequency p-adic probability theory induces, see [41], (as a Theorem) Bayes' formula for conditional probability, we can use (4) as the definition of conditional probability in the p-adic axiomatic approach (as it was done by Kolmogorov in the real case).

Example 2.1. (p-adic valued uniform distribution on the space of q-adic sequences). Let p and q be two prime numbers. We set $X_q = \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}, \Omega_q^n = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) : x_j \in X_q\}, \Omega_q^\star = \bigcup_n \Omega_q^n$ (the space of finite sequences), and

$$\Omega_q = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, \ldots) : \omega_j \in X_q\}$$

(the space of infinite sequences). For $x \in \Omega_q^n$, we set $l(x) = n$. For $x \in \Omega_q^\star, l(x) = n$, we define a cylinder U_x with the basis x by $U_x = \{\omega \in \Omega_q : \omega_1 = x_1, \ldots, \omega_n = x_n\}$. We denote by the symbol $F_{\rm cyl}$ the field of subsets of Ω_q generated by all cylinders. In fact, the $F_{\rm cyl}$ is the collection of all finite unions of cylinders.

First we define the uniform distribution on cylinders by setting $\mu(U_x) = 1/q^{l(x)}, x \in \Omega_q^\star$. Then we extend μ by additivity to the field $F_{\rm cyl}$. Thus $\mu : F_{\rm cyl} \to \mathbf{Q}$. The set of rational numbers can be considered as a subset of any $\mathbf{Q}_p$ as well as a subset of $\mathbf{R}$. Thus μ can be considered as a p-adic valued measure (for any prime number p) as well as the real valued measure. We use symbols $\mathbf{P}_p$ and $\mathbf{P}_\infty$ to denote these measures. The probability space for the uniform p-adic measure is defined as the triple

$$\mathcal{P} = (\Omega, F, \mathbf{P}), \text{ where } \Omega = \Omega_q, F = F_{\rm cyl} \text{ and } \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_p.$$

---

[4] We remark that in many cases continuity coincides with σ-additivity, see appendix 3.

The $\mathbf{P}_p$ is called a *uniform p-adic probability distribution.*

The uniform p-adic probability distribution is a probabilistic measure iff $p \neq q$. The range of its values is a subset of the unit p-adic ball.

Remark 2.1. Values of $\mathbf{P}_p$ on cylinders coincide with values of the standard (real-valued) uniform probability distribution (Bernoulli measure) $\mathbf{P}_\infty$. Let us consider, the map $j_\infty(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\omega_j}{2^{j+1}}$. The j_∞ maps the space Ω_q onto the segment $[0, 1]$ of the real line $\mathbf{R}$ (however, j_∞ is not one to one correspondence). The j_∞-image of the Bernoulli measure is the standard Lebesque measure on the segment [0,1] (the uniform probability distribution on the segment [0,1]).

Remark 2.2. The map $j_q : \Omega_q \to \mathbf{Z}_q, j_q(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \omega_j q^j$, gives (one to one!) correspondence between the space of all q-adic sequences Ω_q and the ring of q-adic integers Z_q. The field F_{cyl} of cylindrical subsets of Ω_q coincides with the field $B(\mathbf{Z}_q)$ of all clopen (closed and open at the same time) subsets of $\mathbf{Z}_q$. If Ω_q is realized as $\mathbf{Z}_q$ and F_{cyl} as $B(Z_q)$, then μ_p is the p-adic valued Haar measure on $\mathbf{Z}_q$. The use of the topological structure of $\mathbf{Z}_q$ is very fruitful in the integration theory (for $p \neq q$). In fact, the space of integrable functions $f : \mathbf{Z}_q \to \mathbf{Q}_p$ coincides with the space of continuous functions (random variables) $C(\mathbf{Z}_q, \mathbf{Q}_p)$, see [44], [40], [43], [11].

3 p-adic limit theorems

3.1 p-adic Asymptotics of combinatorial probabilities

Everywhere in this section p is a prime number distinct from 2. We start with considering the classical Bernoulli scheme (in the conventional probabilistic framework) for random variables $\xi_j(\omega) = 0, 1$ with probabilities $1/2, j = 1, 2, \ldots$. First we consider a finite number n of random variables: $\xi_1(\omega), \ldots, \xi_n(\omega)$. A sample space correspondding to these random variables can be chosen as the space $\Omega_2^n = \{0, 1\}^n$. The probability of an event A is defined as

$$\mathbf{P}^{(n)} = \frac{|A|}{|\Omega_2^n|} = \frac{|A|}{2^n},$$

where the symbol $|B|$ denotes the number of elements in a set B. The typical problem of ordinary probability theory is to find an asymptotic behavior of the probabilities $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A), n \to \infty$. It was the starting point of the theory of limit theorems in conventional probability theory.

But the probabilities $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A)$ belong to the field of rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$. We may study behavior of $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A)$, not only with respect to the usual real metric $\rho_\infty(x,y)$ on $\mathbf{Q}$, but also with respect to an arbitrary metric $\rho(x,y)$ on $\mathbf{Q}$. We have studied the case of the p-adic metric on $\mathbf{Q}$, see [28], [39]. We remark that $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(U_x)$, where μ is the uniform distribution on Ω_2. By realizing μ as the (real valued) probability distribution $\mathbf{P}_\infty$ we use the formalism of the conventional probability theory. By realizing μ as the p-adic valued probability distribution $\mathbf{P}_p$ we use the formalism of p-adic probability theory.

What kinds of events A are naturally coupled to the p-adic metric? Of course, such events must depend on the prime number p. As usual, we consider the sums

$$S_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_n(\omega).$$

We are interested in the following question. Does p divide the sum $S_n(\omega)$ or not? Set $A(p,n) = \{\omega \in \Omega_2^n : p \text{ divides the sum} S_n(\omega)\}$. Then $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A(p,n)) = L(p,n)/2^n$, where $L(p,n)$ is the number of vectors $\omega \in \Omega_2^n$ such that p divides $|\omega| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j$. As usual, denote by $\bar{A}$ the complement of a set A. Thus $\bar{A}(p,n)$ is the set of all $\omega \in \Omega_q^n$ such that p does not divide the sum $S_n(\omega)$. We shall see that the sets $A(p,n)$ and $\bar{A}(p,n)$ are asymptotically symmetric from the p-adic point of view:

$$\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A(p,n)) \to \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\bar{A}(p,n)) \to \frac{1}{2} \tag{5}$$

in the p-adic metric when $n \to 1$ in the same metric. Already in this simplest case we shall see that the behavior of sums $S_n(\omega)$ depends crucially on the choice of a sequence $s = \{N_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers. A limit distribution of the sequence of random variables $S_n(\omega)$, when $n \to \infty$ in the ordinary sense, does not exist. We have to describe all limiting distributions for different sequences s converging in the p-adic topology.

Let $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ be a p-adic probabilistic space and $\xi_n : \Omega \to \mathbf{Q}_p (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ be a sequence of equally distributed independent random variables, $\xi_n = 0, 1$ with probability $1/2$.[5] We start with the following result that can be obtained through purely combinatorial considerations (behavior of binomial coefficients C_m^r in the p-adic topology).

---

[5] Here $1/2$ is considered as a p-adic number. In the conventional theory $1/2$ is considered as a real number.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $m = 0, 1, \ldots, p^s - 1 (s = 1, 2, \ldots), r = 0, \ldots, m$, *and* $l \geq s$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n \to m} \mathbf{P}(\omega : S_n(\omega) \in U_{1/p^l}(r)) = \frac{C_m^r}{2^m}.$$

Formally this theorem can be reformulated as the following result for the convergence of probabilistic distributions: *The limiting distribution on* $\mathbf{Q}_p$ *of the sequence of the sums* $S_n(\omega)$, *where* $n \to m$ *in* $\mathbf{Q}_p$, *is the discrete measure* $\kappa_{1/2,m} = 2^{-m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} C_m^r \delta_m$.

We consider the event $A(p, n, r) = \{\omega : S_n(\omega) = pi + r\}$ for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$. This event consists of all ω such that the residue of $S_n(\omega) \mod p$ equals to r. Note that the set $A(p, n, r)$ coincides with the set $\{\omega : S_n(\omega) \in U_{1/p}(r)\}$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let* $n \to m$ *in* $\mathbf{Q}_p$, *where* $m = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$. *Then the probabilities* $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(A(p, n, r))$ *approach* $C_m^r/2^m$ *for all residues* $r = 0, \ldots, m$.

In particular, as $A(p, n) \equiv A(p, n, 0)$, we get (5). What happens in the case $m \geq p$? We have only the following particular result:

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $n \to p$ *in* $\mathbf{Q}_p$ *and* $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, p$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n \to p} \mathbf{P}(\omega : S_n(\omega) \in U_{1/p^l}(r)) = \frac{C_p^r}{2^p},$$

where $s \geq 2$ *for* $r = 0, p$ *and* $s \geq 1$ *for* $r = 1, \ldots, p - 1$.

Remark 3.1. (Bernard-Letac asymtotics) In [45] J. Bernard and G. Letac have studied p-adic asymptotic of multi binomial coefficients. Although they did not consider the p-adic probabilistic terminology (at that moment there were no physical motivations to consider the p-adic generalization of probability), their results may be interpreted as a kind of a limit theorem for p-adic probability.

3.2 Laws of Large Numbers

We now study the general case of dichotomic equally distributed independent random variables: $\xi_n(\omega) = 0, 1$ with probabilities q and $q' = 1 - q, q \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. We shall study the weak convergence of the probability distributions $\mathbf{P}_{S_{N_k}}$ for the sums $S_{N_k}(\omega)$. We consider the space

$C(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ of continuous functions $f : \mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{Q}_p$. We will be interested in convergence of integrals

$$\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} f(x) d\mathbf{P}_{S_{N_k}}(x) \to \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} f(x) d\mathbf{P}_S(x), f \in C(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p),$$

where $\mathbf{P}_S$ is the limiting probability distribution (depending on the sequence $s = \{N_k\}$). To find the limiting distribution $\mathbf{P}_S$, we use the method of characteristic functions. We have for characteristic functions

$$\phi_{N_k}(z, q, a) = \int_\Omega \exp\{z S_{N_k}(\omega)\} d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = (1 + q'(e^z - 1))^{N_k}.$$

Here z belong to a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero in the $\mathbf{Q}_p$; see [25] for detail about the p-adic method of characteristic functions. Let a be an arbitrary number from $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Let $s = \{N_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of natural numbers converging to a in the $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Set $\phi(z, q, a) = (1 + q'(e^z - 1))^a$. This function is analytic for small z. It is easy to see that the sequence of characteristic functions $\{\phi_{N_k}(z, q, a)\}$ converges (uniformly on every ball of a sufficiently small radius) to the function $\phi(z, q, a)$. Unfortunately, we could not prove (or disprove) a p-adic analogue of Levy's theorem. Therefore in the general case the convergence of characteristic functions does not give us anything. However, we shall see that we have Levy's situation in the particular case under consideration: There exists the bounded probability measure distribution $\kappa_{q,a}$ having the characteristic function $\phi(z, q, a)$ and, moreover, $\mathbf{P}_{S_{N_k}} \to \mathbf{P}_S = \kappa_{q,a}, N_k \to a$.

We start with the first part of the above statement. Here we shall use Mahlers integration theory on the ring of p-adic integers, see e.g., [40]. We introduce a system of binomial polynomials: $C(x, k) = C_x^k = \frac{x(x-1)...(x-k+1)}{k!}$ (that are considered as functions from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ to $\mathbf{Q}_p$). Every function $f \in C(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p)$ is expanded into a series (a Mahler expansion, see [40]) $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k C(x, k)$. It converges uniformly on $\mathbf{Z}_p$. If μ is a bounded measure on $\mathbf{Z}_p$, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} f(x) \mu(dx) = \sum a_k \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} C(x, n) \mu(dx).$$

Therefore to define a p-adic valued measure on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ it suffices to define coefficients $\int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} C(x, n) \mu(dx)$. A measure is bounded iff these coefficients are bounded. Using the expansion of $\phi(z, q, a)$, we obtain

$$\lambda_m(q, a) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}_p} C(x, m) \kappa_{q,a}(dx) = (1 - q)^m C(a, m).$$

As $|C(a,m)|_p \leq 1$ for $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, we get that the distribution $\kappa_{q,a}$ (corresponding to $\phi(z,q,a)$) is bounded measure on $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Set $\lambda_{mn}(q,a) = \int_\Omega C(S_n(\omega), m) dP(\omega)$.

We compute

$$\lambda_{mN_k}(q,a) = (1-q)^m C^m_{N_k}.$$

Thus $\lambda_{mN_k}(q,a) \to \lambda_m(q,a), N_k \to a$. This implies the following limit theorem.

Theorem 3.3. (p-adic Law of Large Numbers.) *The sequence of probability distributions* $\{\mathbf{P}_{S_{N_k}}\}$ *converges weakly to* $\mathbf{P}_S = \kappa_{q,a}$, *when* $N_k \to a$ *in* $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

3.3 The central limit theorem

Here we restrict our considerations to the case of symmetric random variables $\xi_n(\omega) = 0, 1$ with probabilities 1/2. We study the p-adic asymptotic of the normalized sums

$$G_n(\omega) = \frac{S_n(\omega) - ES_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{DS_n(\omega),}} \tag{6}$$

Here $ES_n = n/2, D\xi_n = E\xi^2 - (E\xi)^2 = 1/4$ and $DS_n = n/4$. Hence

$$G_n(\omega) = \frac{S_n(\omega) - n/2}{\sqrt{n}/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{2\xi_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{n.}$$

By applying the method of characteristic functions we can find the characteristic function of the limiting distribution. Let us compute the characteristic function of random variables $G_n(\omega)$:

$$\psi_n(z) = (cosh\{z/\sqrt{n}\})^n.$$

Set $\psi(z,a) = (cosh\{z/\sqrt{a}\})^a, a \in \mathbf{Z}_p, a \neq 0$. This function belongs to the space of locally analytic functions. There exists the p-adic analytic generalized function, see [25] for detail, γ_a with the Borel-Laplace transform $\psi(z,a)$. Unfortunately, we do not know so much about this distribution (an analogue of Gaussian distribution?). We only proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *The* γ_1 *is the bounded measure on* $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

Open Problems:

1). Boundedness of γ_a for $a \neq 1$.

2). Weak convergence of $\mathbf{P}_{G_n}$ to $\mathbf{P}_G = \gamma_a$ (at least for $a = 1$).

4 Axiomatics for probability valued in a topological group

Let G be a commutative (additive) topological group. In general, it can be nonlocally compact.[6]

Let us choose a fixed subset Δ of the group G.

Axiomatics 2. *Let Ω and F be as in Axiomatics 1. Let $\mathbf{P} : F \to \Delta$ be an additive function (measure). The triple $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ is said to be a G-probabilistic space (with the Δ-range of probability).*

We also have to add an integration condition. Such a condition depends on the topological structure of G. It seems to be impossible to propose a general condition providing fruitful integration theory.

The reader might say that our definition of a G-probabilistic measure is too general. Moreover, our real probabilistic intuition would protest against disappearence of the *unit probability* from consideration. We shall discuss this problem in section 5.

We now consider a modification of the above axiomatics that includes a kind of 'unit probability'. Let $E = \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$ be a nonzero element in G. Let G be metrizable (with the metric ρ). The additional ('unit-probability') axiom should be of the following form:

$$\sup_{A \in F} \rho(0, \mathbf{P}(A)) = \rho(0, E). \tag{7}$$

A G-probabilistic space in that (7) holds true is called a G-probabilistic space with *unit probability axiom.* Of course, the consideration of such probabilistic spaces seems to be more natural from the standard probabilistic viewpoint. Therefore it would be natural to start with consideration of such models. However, for many important G-probabilistic spaces the unit probability axiom does not hold true. At the moment we know a few examples of G-probabilities having applications:

1). $G = \mathbf{R}$ and $\Delta = [0, 1]$(the conventional probability theory);

2). $G = \mathbf{R}$ and $\Delta = \mathbf{R}$ ('negative probabilities', see e.g., [14], [18], [11], they are realized as signed measures, charges).

3). $G = \mathbf{C}$ and $\Delta = \mathbf{C}$ ('complex probabilities', see e.g., [19], [20], they are realized as $\mathbf{C}$-valued measures).

4). $G = \mathbf{Q}_p$ and Δ is a ball in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ('p-adic probabilities', [42], [11], they are realized as $\mathbf{Q}_p$-valued measures).

The p-adic model can be essentially (and rather easily) generalized. Let K be an arbitrary complete non-Archimedean field with the valuation (absolute value) $|\cdot|$. We can define K-valued probabilistic measures by using the same integration conditions as in the p-adic case, namely boundedness and continuity, see appendix 3.

---

[6] In principle, we could proceed in the same way in the non-commutative case.

We note that in all considered examples the additive group G has the additional algebraic structure, namely the field structure. The presence of such a field structure gives the possibility to develop essentially richer probabilistic calculus than in the general case. Here we can introduce conditional probability by using Bayes' formula and define the notion of independence of events.

The following slight generalization gives the possibility to consider a few new examples. Let G be a non-Archimedian normed ring. To simplify considerations, we again consider the commutative case. Here:

(1) $||x|| \geq 0, ||x|| = 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0$;

(2) $||x||\,||y|| \leq ||x||\,||y||$ and $||x+y|| \leq \max(||x||, ||y||)$.

We set, for $A \in F, ||A||_{\mathbf{P}} = \sup\{||\mathbf{P}(B)|| : B \in F, B \subset A\}$. We define a G-probabilistic measure as a normalized G-valued measure satisfying to the conditions of boundedness and continuity, compare with appendix 3. Corresponding integration theory is developed in the same way as in the case of a non-Archimedian field. One of the most important examples of non-Archimedian normed rings is a ring of m-adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_m$, where $m \neq p^k, p - prime$. It is a locally compact ring. We can present numerous examples of non-Archimedian normed rings by considering various functional spaces of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ (or $\mathbf{Q}_m$)-valued functions.

For a ring G, we can define averages for G-valued random variables, $\xi : \Omega \to G$. In particular, we can represent the probability distribution of the sum $\eta = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ of two G-valued random variables as the convolution of corresponding probability distributions. Here we define the convolution of two G-valued measures on G as:

$$\int_G f(x) M_1 \star M_2(dx) = \int_{G \times G} f(x_1 + x_2) M_1(dx_1) M_2(dx_2),$$

where $f : G \to G$ is a "sufficiently good" function. If G is a ring and $A \in F$ is such that $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is invertible, then we can define conditional probabilities by using Bayes' formula.

We obtain a large class of new mathematical problems related to G-probabilistic models. We emphasize that, despite a rather common opinion, the probability theory is not just a part of functional analysis (measure theory). Probability theory has also its own ideology. The probabilistic ideology induces its own problems. Such problems would be impossible to formulate in the framework of functional analysis (of course, methods of functional analysis can be essentially used for the investigation of these problems).

One of the most important problems is to find analogues of limit theorems, compare, e.g., with [46].

Open problem:

Let $s = \{N_k\}$ be a sequence of natural numbers and let

$$M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{1n_1};$$

$$M_{21}, M_{22}, \ldots, M_{2n_2};$$

$$M_{k1}, M_{k2}, \ldots, M_{kN_k};$$

be G-probabilistic measures. As usual, we have to study behavior of convolutions:

$\alpha_k = M_{k1} \star M_{k2} \star \ldots \star M_{kN_k}$

to find analogues of limit theorems. For example, an analogue of the law of large numbers could be formulated in the following way. Let d be a nonzero element of a topological additive group G. Let $s = \{N_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of natural numbers. Suppose that the corresponding sequence $\{N_k d\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of elements of G converges to some element $a \in G$ or to $a = \infty$. The latter has the standard meaning: for each neighborhood U of zero in G there exists N such that $N_k d \notin U$ for all $N_k \geq N$.

Let $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ be a G-probabilistic space. Let $\xi_n(\omega) = 0, d$, with G-probabilities $q, q' = E - q$ where $E = \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$, be a sequence of independent random variables. Let $S_n(\omega)$ be the sum of n first variables.

Open Problem:

Does the sequence of probability distributions $\mathbf{P}_{S_{N_k}}$ *converge weakly to some probability distribution* $\mathbf{P}_S$*, when* $k \to \infty$*?*

The simplest variant of this problem is to generalize Theorem 3.1: to find (if it exists) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{P}(S_{N_k}(\omega) \in U_r(0))$. In the case of a metrizable group G, $U_r(0) = \{g \in G : \rho(g, 0) \leq r\}, r > 0$, is a ball in G. In the case when G is a field we can consider normalized sums (6) and try to get an analogue of the central limit theorem.

Remark 4.1. The presented axiomatics of the group valued probabilities was strongly based on authors investigations on the p-adic probability theory. By using the p-adic experience we understood how G-valued probabilities 'must look'. The presented interpretation of G-valued probabilities was developed in the following way. By studying $\mathbf{Q}_p$-valued probabilities we understood that we could not more use the order relation between probabilities. In conventional probability theory we can say, e.g., that $\mathbf{P}(A) = 1/2$ is less than $\mathbf{P}(B) = 2/3$. Therefore the occurrence of the event A is less favorable than the occurrence of the event B. On the other hand, there is no order structure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$. We could not say that A with $\mathbf{P}(A) = 1/2 \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ is less (or more) favorable than B with $\mathbf{P}(B) = 2/3 \in \mathbf{Q}_p$. There is no order structure on the set of p-adic probabilities[7]. It is possible to introduce a partial order structure [51] on $\mathbf{Q}_p$. However, the formal use of that partial order structure for p-adic probabilities has unexpected implications, [51]. Practically impossible as well as practically definite events are not more coupled to two isolated points in the set of probabilities, namely to $\mathbf{P} = 0$ and $\mathbf{P} = 1$ respectively. Practically impossible and definite probabilities are represented by some sets U_0 (such that $0 \in U_0$) and U_1 (such that $1 \in U_1$), respectively, of p-adic numbers, [51]. In the p-adic framework a set A is practically impossible if $\mathbf{P}(A) \in U_0$ and practically definite if $\mathbf{P}(A) \in U_1$.

This p-adic construction was one of motivations (at least mathematical) of the theory of abstract models of probability proposed by V. Maximov [52]. Maximov's formalism is based on the deep investigation on the role of certainty, uncertainty and randomness in probability theory. His main idea was that to apply probability one should introduce only the notions of certainty and uncertainty. They can be described by some sets U_0 and U_1. Therefore the order structure on the set of probabilities does not play any role. In this way it is possible to proceed to probabilities valued in abstract sets (with a rather complex semi-algebraic structure that should reproduce the main relations between real probabilities, see [52]). The abstract probabilistic model of V. Maximov played the stimulating role in my investigations to generalize the p-adic probability theory to an arbitrary topological group. However, I do not support Maximov's viewpoint to the role of certainty and uncertainty in applications of probability theory. Each experiment must induce its special level of statistical significance. In ordinary statistics this level is given by the ϵ-levels of statistical significance, in fact, by the ϵ-neighborhood of $\mathbf{P} = 0$. Our idea was to consider an additive topological group G and probabilities valued in some subset $U \in G, 0 \in U$, and levels of significance are given by neighborhoods V (in the metric case $V_\epsilon, \epsilon > 0$) of zero, see the next section for the details.

---

[7] We would like to remark that the role of the order structure on the set [0,1] of conventional probabilities is overestimated. For example, let $\mathbf{P}(A) = 11/17$ and $\mathbf{P}(B) = 13/19$. Of course, $\mathbf{P}(A) < \mathbf{P}(B)$ in $\mathbf{R}$. However: are you sure that you will be rich if you play in the favor of B?

5 Statistical interpretation of probabilities with values in a topological group

In fact, Kolmogorov's probability theory has two (more or less independent) counterparts: (a) axiomatics (a mathematical representation); (i) interpretation (rules for application). The first part is the measure-theoretic formalism. The second part is a mixture of frequency and ensemble interpretations: "... we may assume that to an event A which has the following characteristics: (a) one can be practically certain that if the complex of conditions $\sum$ is repeated a large number of times, N, then if n be the number of occurrences of event A, the ratio n/N will differ very slightly from $\mathbf{P}(A)$; (b) if $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is very small, one can be practically certain that when conditions $\sum$ are realized only once the event A would not occur at all", [1].

As we have already noticed, (a) and (i) are more or less independent. Therefore the Kolmogorov's measure-theoretic formalism, (a), is used successfully, for example, in subjective probability theory.

In practice we apply Kolmogorov's (conventional) interpretation, (i), in the following way. First we have to fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$, *significance level.* If the probability $\mathbf{P}(A)$ of some events A is less than ϵ, this event is considered as practically impossible.

It is already evident how to generalize the conventional interpretation of probability to G-valued probabilities. First we have to fix some neighborhood of zero, V, a *significance neighborhood.*

If the probability $\mathbf{P}(A)$ of some event A belongs to V, this event is considered as practically impossible.

If a group G is metrizable, then the situation is even more similar to the standard (real) probability. We choose $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the ball $V_\epsilon = \{x \in G : \rho(0, x) < \epsilon\}$. If $\rho(0, \mathbf{P}(A)) < \epsilon$, then the event A is considered as practically impossible.

Let us borrow some ideas from statistics. We are given a certain sample space Ω with an associated distribution $\mathbf{P}$. Given an element $\omega \in \Omega$, we want to test the hypothesis "ω belongs to some reasonable majority." A reasonable majority $\mathcal{M}$ can be described by presenting *critical regions* $\Omega^{(\epsilon)} (\in F)$ of the significance level $\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$: $\mathbf{P}(\Omega^{(\epsilon)}) < \epsilon$. The complement $\bar{\Omega}^{(\epsilon)}$ of a critical region $\Omega^{(\epsilon)}$ is called

$(1-\epsilon)$ confidence interval. If $\omega \in \Omega^{(\epsilon)}$, then the hypothesis "$\omega$ belongs to majority $\mathcal{M}$" is rejected with the significance level ϵ. We can say that ω fails the test to belong to $\mathcal{M}$ at the level of critical region $\Omega^{(\epsilon)}$.

G-statistical machinery works in the same way. The only difference is that, instead of significance levels ϵ, given by real numbers, we consider significance levels V given by neighborhoods of zero in G. Thus we consider critical regions $\Omega^{(V)}(\in F)$:

$$\mathbf{P}(\Omega^{(V)}) \in V.$$

If $\omega \in \Omega^{(V)}$, then the hypothesis "ω belongs to majority $\mathcal{M}$" (represented by the statistical test $\{\Omega^{(V)}\}$) is rejected with the significance level V. If G is metrizable, then we have even more similarity with the standard (real) statistics. Here $V = V_\epsilon, \epsilon > 0$.

Of course, the strict mathematical description of the above statistical considerations can be presented in the framework of Martin-Löf [9], [10], [7] statistical tests. We remark that such a p-adic framework was already developed in [11]. In the p-adic case (as in the real case) it is possible to enumerate effectively all p-adic tests for randomness. However, a universal p-adic test for randomness does not exist [11]. If the group G is metrizable we can proceed in the same way as in the real and p-adic case [11] and define G-random sequences, namely sequences $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N, \ldots), \omega_j = 0, 1$, that are random with respect to a G-valued probability distribution. However, if G is not metrizable, then the notion of a recursively enumerable set would not be more the appropriative basis for such a theory. In any case we have an interesting

Open problem:

Development of randomness theory for an arbitrary topological group.

The general scheme of the application of G-valued probabilities is the same as in the ordinary case:

1) we find initial probabilities;

2) then we perform calculations by using calculus of G-valued probabilities;

3) finally, we apply the above interpretation to resulting probabilities.

Of course, the main question is "How can we find initial probabilities?" The situation here is more or less similar to the situation in the ordinary probability theory. One of possibilities is to apply the frequency arguments (as R. von Mises). We have already discussed such an approach for p-adic probabilities, see also further considerations in section 6. Another possibility is to use subjective approach to probability. I think that everybody agrees that there is nothing special in segment $[0,1]$ as the set of labels for the measure of belief in the occurrence of some event. In the same way we can use, for example, the segment [-1,1] (signed probability) or the unit complex disk (complex probability) or the set of p-adic integers $\mathbf{Z}_p$ (p-adic probability). If G is a field we can apply the machinery of Bayesian probabilities and, finally, use our interpretation of probabilities to make a probabilistic ('statistical') decision. The third possibility is to use symmetry arguments, 'Laplacian approach'. For example, by such arguments we can choose (in some situations) the uniform $\mathbf{Q}_p$-valued distribution.

We now turn back to the role of the unit probability and, in particular, axiom (7). In fact, by considering the interpretation of probability based on the notion of the significance level we need not pay the special attention to the probability $E = \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$. It is enough to consider V-impossible events, $V = V(0)$. If V is quite large and $\mathbf{P}(A) \notin V$, then an event A can be considered as practically definite.

Example 5.1. (A p-adic statistical test) Theorem 3.1. implies that, for each p-adic sphere $\mathbf{S}_{1/p^l}(r)$, where l, r, m were done in Theorem 3.1:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega_2 : S_{N_k}(\omega) \in \mathbf{S}_{1/p^l}(r)\}) = 0,$$

for each sequence $s = \{N_k\}, N_k \to m, k \to \infty$. We can construct a statistical test on the basis of this limit theorem (as well as any other limit theorem). Let $s = \{N_k\}, N_k \to m$, be a fixed sequence of natural numbers. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists k_ϵ such that, for all $k \geq k_\epsilon$,

$$|\mathbf{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega_2 : S_{N_k}(\omega) \in \mathbf{S}_{1/p^l}(r)\})|_p < \epsilon.$$

We set $\Omega^{(\epsilon)} = \bigcup_{k \geq k_\epsilon} \{\omega \in \Omega_2 : S_{N_k}(\omega) \in \mathbf{S}_{1/p^l}(r)\}$. We remark that

$$|\mathbf{P}(\Omega^{(\epsilon)})|_p < \epsilon.$$

We now define reasonable majority of outcomes as sequences that do not belong to the sphere $\mathbf{S}_{\frac{1}{p^l}}(r)$, "nonspherical majority." Here the set $\Omega^{(\epsilon)}$ is the critical region on the significance level ϵ.

Suppose that a sequence ω belongs to the set $\Omega^{(\epsilon)}$. Then the hypothesis "ω belongs nonspherical majority" must be rejected with the significance level ϵ. In particular, such a sequence ω is not random with respect to the uniform p-adic distribution on Ω_2. If , for some sequence of 0 and 1, $\omega = (\omega_j)$ we have $\omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_{N_k} - r = \alpha \mod p^l, \alpha = 1, \ldots, p-1$, for all $k \geq k_\epsilon$, then it is rejected.

The simplest test is given by $m = 1, r = 0, N_k = 1 + p^k$ and $\omega_1 + \ldots + \omega_{N_k} = \alpha \mod p, \alpha = 1, \ldots, p-1$.

6 Generalized frequency models

We proposed a theory of G-valued probabilities in the measure-theoretic framework.[8] In principle, we can also proceed in the frequency framework. However, an arbitrary topological group is too general base for such frequency probabilities. We have to start with a topological field T that contains the field of rational numbers as a dense subfield. We proceed in the same way as in the p-adic case. Let $s = \{N_k\}$ be a sequence of natural numbers converging in T to some m. A T-valued s-probability is defined as the limit $\mathbf{P} = \lim_{k \to V_{N_k}} \in T$ (if it exists).

As T is a topological field, we get additivity (2), formula (3) and Bayes' formula (4). The range of values of such a frequency probability depends on the sequence s and the topology on T.

In fact, Kolmogorov's theory does not look extremely anti-frequencist due to the presence of the strong law of large numbers. Of course, this law was strongly criticized from the frequency point of view, see e.g., von Mises [2], [3]. The main critical argument is that we could not say anything about behaviour of frequencies for a concrete sequence of trials. Nevertheless, there are no problems in average. Therefore, by obtaining a kind of law of large numbers (of course, in the case when the field of rational numbers Q is dense in T) we could strongly improve the measure-theoretic approach for T-valued probabilities. A kind of such a law we have in the p-adic case.

7 Appendixes

7.1 Conventional stochastic processes in p-adic physics

We consider the A-model, see section 1. Thus the variable x is p-adic, but the wave function ψ is complex valued. Here, as $|\psi(x)|^2 \in \mathbf{R}_+$, we can apply Kolmogorov's probability theory. However, the special structure of the sample space $\Omega = \mathbf{Q}_p$ - the field of p-adic numbers, gives the possibility to provide more deep probabilistic investigations. Of course, $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is a locally compact additive group. Here we can apply the general theory of probability on locally compact groups, see, e.g., [46]-[50]. However, the importance of this concrete example of a locally compact group was not well recognized in the community - 'Probability on Topological Structures'. On the other hand, from the physical point of view the group $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is practically not less important than the group $\mathbf{R}$.

---

[8] However, we use the frequency experience of the real and p-adic probabilities to find reasonable properties of 'probability'.

Moreover, members of the community - 'Probability on Topological Structures' were bounded by knowing the following p-adic No-Go theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *There are no Gaussian measures on* $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

This fact can easily be extracted from Heyer's book [46]. The $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is a totally disconnected locally compact topological group. This result can satisfy every mathematician. However, physicist would not be happy! There exists a differential operator of the second order, Vladimirov-Laplace operator, see [24], that plays the fundamental role in p-adic string theory and quantum mechanics. Physicists describe important dynamics by using this operator. It seems that there should be probabilistic description of these evolutions. Of course, the corresponding stochastic processes (if it exists at all) could not be a process with continuous trajectories. We have to modify Bendikov's program, see e.g., [53] of the construction of Brownian motions on locally compact groups. It seems that a generalization of Bendikov's program for totally disconnected locally compact groups must be based on the description of generators of stochastic processes as second order Vladimirov-type differential operators. It may occur that the corresponding stochastic process will be a generalized stochastic process.

On the other hand, there were performed extended investigations on limit theorems for general locally compact groups, see, e.g., [46]. The most interesting for us are investigations for disconnected groups (in particular, p-adic), see e.g., [47]-[50]. These investigations can be of great importance for p-adic physics and cognitive sciences.

7.2 Limit theorem for signed, complex and Banach-algebras valued probabilities

It seems that the first limit theorem for signed probabilities was considered in the paper of [54]. Then such probabilistic distributions were considered by V. Krylov [55] in the connection to the Cauchy problem for the equations:

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta t} = (-1)^{q+1} \frac{\delta^{2q} f}{\delta x^{2q}} \tag{8}$$

He proved a limit theorem for the fundamental solution of (8) that generalized the standard central limit theorem (for $q = 1$).

The central limit theorem for Schrödinger's equation was proved by A. Khrennikov and O. Smolyanov [56]. Then it was generalized to arbitrary complex (in particular, signed) distributions (on finite as well as infinite dimensional locally convex spaces), see [57]. We also have to mention an extended research activity on limit theorems for fundamental and pseudo-differential equations. However, the author is not a specialist in this field. Therefore we will not concern these investigations in the present review. Finally, we remark that 'probability measures' with values in supercommutative Banach superalgebras (in particular, commutative) were used in the framework of so called supersymmetric physics [58]. Here I proved an analogue of the central limit theorem for Gaussian and Feynman superdistributions.

7.3 Measures that take values in non-Archimedian fields

Let X be an arbitrary set and let $\mathcal{R}$ be a ring of subsets of X. The pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R})$ is called a *measurable space.* The ring $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be *separating* if for every two distinct elements, x and y, of X there exists an $A \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $x \in A, y \notin A$. We shall consider measurable spaces only over separating rings which cover X.

A subcollection $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be *shrinking* if the intersection of any two elements of $\mathcal{S}$ contains an element of $\mathcal{S}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ is shrinking, and if f is a map $\mathcal{R} \to K$ or $\mathcal{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, we say that $\lim_{A \in \mathcal{S}} f(A) = 0$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $A_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $|f(A)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $A \in \mathcal{S}, A \subset A_0$.

Let K be a non-Archimedian field with the valuation $|\cdot|$. A *measure* on $\mathcal{R}$ is a map $\mu \mathcal{R} \to K$ with the properties:

(i) μ is additive; (ii) for all $A \in \mathcal{R}, ||A||_\mu = \sup\{|\mu(B)| : B \in \mathcal{R}, B \subset A\} < \infty$; (iii) if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$ is shrinking and has empty intersection, then $\lim_{A \in \mathcal{S}} \mu(A) = 0$.

We call these conditions respectively *additivity, boundedness, continuity.* The latter condition is equivalent to the following: $\lim_{a \in \mathcal{S}} ||A||_\mu = 0$ for every shrinking collection $\mathcal{S}$ with empty intersection.

Condition (iii) is the replacement for σ-additivity. Clearly (iii) implies σ-additivity. Moreover, for the most interesting cases, (iii) is equivalent to σ-additivity [43]. Of course, we could in principle restrict our attention to these cases and use the standard condition of σ-additivity. However, in that case we should use some topological restriction on the space X. This implies that we must consider some topological structure on a p-adic probability space. We do not like to do this. We would like to develop the theory of p-adic probability measures in the same way as A. N. Kolmogorov (1933) developed the theory of real valued probability measures by starting with an arbitrary set algebra.

For any set D, we denote its characteristic function by the symbol I_D. For $f : X \to K$ and $\phi : X \to [0, \infty)$, put

$$||f||_\phi = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|\phi(x).$$

We set

$$N_\mu(x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{R}, x \in U} ||U||_\mu$$

for $x \in X$. Then $||A||_\mu = ||I_A||_{N_\mu}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{R}$. We set $||f||_\mu = ||f||_{N_\mu}$. A *step function* (or $\mathcal{R}$-step function) is a function $f : X \to K$ of the form $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k I_{A_k}(x)$ where $c_k \in K$ and $A_k \in \mathcal{R}, A_k \cap A_1 = \emptyset, k \neq l$. We set for such a function

$$\int_X f(x)\mu(dx) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \mu(A_k).$$

Denote the space of all step functions by the symbol $S(X)$. The integral $f \to \int_X f(x)\mu(dx)$ is the linear functional on $S(X)$ which satisfies the inequality $|\int_X f(x)\mu(dx)| \leq ||f||_\mu$. A function $f : X \to K$ is called *μ-integrable* if there exists a sequence of step functions $\{f_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f - f_n||_\mu = 0$. The μ-integrable functions form a vector space $L(X, \mu)$ (and $S(X) \subset L(X, \mu)$). The integral is extended from $S(X)$ on $L(X, \mu)$ by continuity. Let $\mathcal{R}_\mu = \{A : A \subset X, I_A \in L(X, \mu)\}$. This is a ring. Elements of this ring are called μ-measurable sets. By setting $\mu(A) = \int_X I_A(x)\mu(dx)$ the measure μ is extended to a measure on $\mathcal{R}_\mu$. This is the *maximal extension* of μ, i.e., if we repeat the previous procedure starting with the ring $\mathcal{R}_\mu$, we will obtain this ring again. Finally, we mention investigation for solenoids, see e.g., [53] applications of p-adic valued measures in number theory, see e.g., [40] and recent paper of D. Neuenschwander [59] on p-adic valued measures.

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank L. Accardi, S. Albeverio, A. Bendikov, A. Bulinskii, W. Hazod, H. Heyer, T. Hida, S. Kozyrev, G. Letac, V. Maximov, D. Neuenschwander, A. Shiryaev, Yu. Prohorov, O. Smolyanov, V. Vladimirov, and I. Volovich for fruitful discussions. I am especially thankful to A. Bulinskii and A. Shiryaev for conversations on original view of A. N. Kolmogorov on axiomatization of probability and to A. Accardi and V. Maximov for many years of debates on non-Kolmogorovian extensions of probability theory. I also would like to thank V. P. Maslov for a series of discussions on frequency probability and quantum probability as well as their applications outside quantum domain and in particular in financial mathematics and his support of my frequency analysis of foundations of probability theory.

References

[1] A. N. Kolmogorov, *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung.* Springer Verlag, Berlin (1933); reprinted: *Foundations of the Probability Theory.* Chelsey Publ. Comp., New York (1956).

[2] R. von Mises, Grundlagen der Wahrschienlichkeitsrechnung. *Math. Z.,* **5**, 52-99 (1919).

[3] R. von Mises, *The mathematical theory of probability and statistics* (Academic , London, 1964)

[4] E. Kamke, Über neuere Begründungen der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechning. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Matematiker-Vereinigung,* **42**, 14-27 (1932).

[5] J. Ville, *Etude critique de la notion de collective.* Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1939).

[6] E. Tornier, *Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung und allgemeine Integrationsteorie.,* Univ. Press, Leipzing (1936).

[7] M. Li M., P. Vitànyi, *An introduction to Kolmogorov complexity and its applications.* Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1997).

M. Van Lambalgen, Von Mises' definition of random sequences reconsidered. *J. of Symbolic Logic,* **52,** N. 3 (1987).

[8] A. N. Kolmogorov, Three approaches to the quantitative definition of information. *Problems Inform. Transmition.,* **1**, 1-7 (1965).

A. K. Zvonkin and L. A. Levin, A complexity of finite objects and justification of the notions of information and randomness on the basis of algorithms. *Uspehi Matem. Nauk*, **25**, 85–127 (1970).

[9] P. Martin-Löf, On the concept of random sequences. *Theory of Probability Appl.,* **11**, 177-179 (1966).

[10] P. Martin-Löf, The definition of random sequences. *Inform. Contr.,* **9**, 602-619 (1966).

[11] A. Yu. Khrennikov, *Interpretation of probability.* (VSP Int. Publ., Utrecht. 1999).

[12] A. Yu. Khrennikov, A perturbation of CHSH inequality induced by fluctuations of ensemble distributions. *J. of Math. Physics,* **41**, N.9, 5934-5944 (2000).

[13] A. Yu. Khrennikov, Statistical measures of ensemble nonreproducibility and correction to Bell's inequality. *Il Nuovo Cimento,* **B 115**, N.2, 179-184 (2000).

[14] P. A. M. Dirac, The physical interpretation of quantum mechanics. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London,* **A 180**, 1-39 (1942).

[15] E. Wigner, *Quantum-mechanical distribution functions revisted,* in: *Perspectives in quantum theory.* Yourgrau W. and van der Merwe A., editors, MIT Press, Cambridge MA (1971).

[16] R. P. Feynman, Negative probability. *Quantum Implications, Essays in Honour of David Bohm,* B. J. Hiley and F. D. Peat, editors. Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, 235-246 (1987).

[17] W. Muckenheim, A review on extended probabilities. *Phys. Reports,* **133**, 338-401 (1986).

[18] A. Yu. Khrennikov, p-adic description of Dirac's hypothetical world with negative probabilities. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.,* **34**, No. 12, 2423-2434 (1995).

[19] P. A. M. Dirac, On the analogy between classical and quantum mechanics. *Rev. of Modern Phys.,* **17**, N 2/3, 195-199 (1945).

[20] E. Prugovecki, Simultaneous measurement of several observables. *Found. of Physics,* **3**, No. 1, 3-18 (1973).

[21] Volovich I. V., p-adic string. *Class. Quant. Grav.,* **4**, 83-87 (1987).

[22] P. G. O. Freund and M. Olson, Non-Archimedian strings. *Phys. Lett. B.* **199**, 186-190 (1987).

[23] P. G. O. Freund and E. Witten, Adelic string amplitudes. *Phys. Lett. B.,* **199**, 191-195 (1987).

[24] V. S. Vladimirov, I. Volovich, and E. I. Zelenov, *p-adic analysis and Mathematical Physics.* World Scientific Publ. Singapore (1993).

[25] A. Yu. Khrennikov, *p-adic valued distributions and their applications to the mathematical physics,* Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht (1994).

[26] A. Yu. Khrennikov, *Non-Archimedian analysis: quantum paradoxes, dynamical systems and biological models.* Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht (1997).

[27] S. Albeverio, A. Yu. Khrennikov, Representation of the Weyl group in spaces of square integrable functions with respect to p-adic valued Gaussian distributions. *J. of Phys. A, 29*, 5515-5527 (1996).

[28] A. Yu. Khrennikov, p-adic probability distribution of hidden variables, *Physica A*, **215**, 577-587 (1995).

[29] A. Yu. Khrennikov, p-adic probability interpretation of Bell's inequality paradoxes. *Physics Letters A,* **200**, 119-223 (1995).

[30] E. Thiran E., Verstegen D., Weyers J., p-adic dynamics, *J. Stat. Phys.* **54** (1989), 893-913.

[31] J. Lubin, Non-archimedian dynamical systems, *Compositio Mathematica,* **94**, 1994, 321-346.

[32] A. Yu. Khrennikov, M. Nilsson, *p-adic deterministic and random dynamical systems.* Kluwer, Dordreht (2004).

S. Albeverio, M. Gundlach, A. Yu. Khrennikov, K.- O. Lindahl, On Markovian behaviour of p-adic random dynamical systems. *Russian J. of Math. Phys.*, **8** (2), 135-152 (2001).

A. Yu. Khrennikov, M. Nilsson, On the number of cycles for p-adic dynamical systems. *J. Number Theory,* **90**, 255-264 (2001).

[33] S. Albeverio, A. Yu. Khrennikov, P. Kloeden, Memory retrieval as a p-adic dynamical system. *Biosystems,* 49, 105-115 (1999).

A. Yu. Khrennikov, p-adic discrete dynamical systems and their applications in physics and cognitive sciences. *Russian J. Math. Phys.*, **11,** N 1, 45–70 (2004).

8. Khrennikov A.Yu.,*Information dynamics in cognitive, psychological and anomalous phenomena.* Kluwer, Dordreht (2004).

[34] T. L. Fine, *Theories of probabilities, an examination of foundations.* Academic Press, New York (1973).

[35] Accardi L., The probabilistic roots of the quantum mechanical paradoxes. *The wave-particle dualism. A tribute to Louis de Broglie on his 90th Birthday,* Edited by S. Diner, D. Fargue, G. Lochak and

F. Selleri, D. Reidel. Publ. Company, Dordrecht, 47-55 (1970).

[36] S. Gudder, Probability manifolds. *J. Math. Phys.,* **25**, 2397-2401 (1984).

[37] *Selected works of A. N. Kolmogorov,* **2**, *Probability theory and mathematical statistics.* Ed. A. N. Shiryayev. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht (1986).

[38] A. Yu. Khrennikov, Laws of large numbers in non-Archimedian probability theory. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk,* **64**, N.1, 211-223 (2000).

A. Yu. Khrennikov, Limit behaviour of sums of independent random variables with respect to the uniform p-adic distribution. *Statistics and Probability Lett.,* **51**, 269-276 (2001).

[39] V. P. Maslov, *Quantization and ultra-second quantization.* Institute of Computer Investigations, Moscow (2001).

[40] W. Schikhov, *Ultrametric calculus.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1984).

[41] A. Yu. Khrennikov, p-adic probability and statistics. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR,* **322,** 1075-1079 (1992).

[42] A. Yu. Khrennikov, An extension of the frequency approach of R. von Mises and the axiomatic approach of N. A. Kolmogorov to the p-adic theory of probability. *Theory of Probability and Appl.,* **40**, N. 2, p. 458-463 (1995).

[43] A. Van Rooij, *Non-archimedian functional analysis.* Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1978).

[44] A. Monna and T. Springer, Integration non-Archimédienne, 1, 2. *Indag. Math.,* **25**, 634-653 (1963).

[45] J. Bernard and G. Letac, Construction d'evenements equiprobables et coefficients multinomiaux modulo p^n. *Illinois J. Math.,* **17**, N. 2, 317-332 (1997).

[46] H. Heyer, *Probability measures on locally compact groups.* Springer-Verlag (1977).

[47] K. Teöken, Limit theorems for probability measures on totally disconnected groups. *Semigroup Forum,* **58**, 69-84 (1999).

[48] R. Shah, Infinitely divisible measures on p-adic groups. *J. Theor. Prob.,* **4**, N. 2, 391-405 (1991).

[49] W. Hazod, Some new limit theorems for vector space- and group valued random variables. *J. Math. Soc.,* **93**, N. 4 (1999).

[50] W. Hazod and R. Shah, Semistable selfdecomposable laws on groups. *J. of Appl. Analysis,* **7**, N. 1, 1-22 (2001).

[51] A. Yu. Khrennikov, Interpretation of probability and their p-adic extensions. *Theory of Probability and Appl.,* **46**, N. 2, 311-325

(2001).

Albeverio S., Cianci R., De Grande-De Kimpe N., Khrennikov A.Yu., p-adic probability and an interpretation of negative probabilities in quantum mechanics. *Russian J. of Math. Phys.,* **6**, 3-19 (1999).

[52] V. Maximov, Abstract models of probability. Proc. of Int. Conf. *Foundations of Probability and Physics,* ed. A. Khrennikov, World Scientific Publishers (2001).

[53] A. Bendikov, L. Saloff-Coste, Brownian motion on compact groups in infinite dimension. Preprint of Cornell Univ. (2001).

[54] M. S. Barnett, Negative probability. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.,* **41**, 71-73 (1944).

[55] V. Yu. Krylov, Integration of analytic functionals with respect to signed measures. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR,* **163**, 289-292 (1965).

[56] O. G. Smolyanov and A. Yu. Khrennikov, Central limit theorem for generalized measures on an infinite dimensional space. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR,* **292**, N. 6, 1310-1313 (1987).

[57] A. Yu. Khrennikov, Integration with respect to generalized measures on linear topological spaces. *Trudy Mosovskogo Matematichaskogo Obshshestva,* **49**, 113-129 (1986) (English Translation in *Proc. Moscow Math. Soc.*).

[58] A. Yu. Khrennikov, *Superanalysis.* Nauka, Moscow, (1997), in Russian. English translation: Kluwer Academic Publishers (1999).

[59] D. Neuenschwander, *Comtes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris,* Serie I, **330**, 1025-1030 (2000).